AUSTIN KNUDSEN
Montana Attorney General
KRISTIN HANSEN
Lieutenant General
DAVID M.S. DEWHIRST
Solicitor General
BRENT MEAD
Assistant Solicitor General
ALWIN LANSING
Assistant Attorney General
215 North Sanders
P.O. Box 201401
Helena, MT 59620-1401
Phone: 406, 444, 2026

Phone: 406-444-2026

Fax: 406-444-3549

david.dewhirst@mt.gov brent.mead2@mt.gov alwyn.lansing@mt.gov

Attorneys for Defendants

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MONTANA MISSOULA DIVISION

Montana Democratic Party, Montanans for Tester, Macee Patritti,

Plaintiffs,

v.

Christi Jacobsen, in her official capacity as Montana Secretary of State, Jeffrey Mangan, in his official capacity as Montana Commissioner of Political Practices,

Defendants.

CV-21-119-M-DWM

AFFIDAVIT OF ANGIE McLAUGHLIN

I, Angie McLaughlin, being first duly sworn upon her oath, state as follows based on my personal knowledge:

 I am Administrative Officer for Montana Secretary of State Christi Jacobsen.

2. On October 14, 2021, Plaintiffs' summons and a copy of the complaint were delivered via process server to the Secretary of State's office. I received the summons and complaint on behalf of the office.

3. Exhibit 1 is a true and accurate copy of the summons and complaint as served on Secretary of State Jacobsen in this matter on October 14, 2021.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated: November 3,2001

Angie McLaughl

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 3 day of November, 2021.

(NOTARIAL SEAL)

SUSAN B. AMES
NOTARY PUBLIC for the
State of Montana
Residing at Helena, Montana
My Commission Expires
September 20, 2023

Printed Name: Susan B Ame

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on this date, an accurate copy of the foregoing document was served electronically through the Court's CM/ECF system on registered counsel.

Dated: November 3, 2021 /s/ Brent Mead
BRENT MEAD

# Exhibit 1

Peter M. Meloy MELOV LAW FIRM P.O. Box 1241 Helena, Montana 59624 406-442-8670 mixe@meloyiawfirm.com

Abha Khanna\*
ELIAS LAW GROUP LLP
1700 Seventh Avenue, Suite 2100
Seattle, Washington 98101
Telephone: (206) 656-0177
akhanna@elias.law

Anorneys for Plaintiffs
\*Motion for Pro Hac Vice Forthcoming

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MONTANA MISSOULA DIVISION

Montana Democratic Party, Montanans for Tester, Macee Patritti,

Plaintiffs.

Christi Jacobsen, in her official capacity as Montana Secretary of State, Jeffrey Mangan, in his official capacity as Montana Commissioner of Political Practices,

Defendants.

Case No. CV-21-119-M-DWM

PRAECIPE

TO PROCESS SERVER IN THE CAPTIONED MATTER:

Please serve a copy of the Summons, and Complaint upon Christi Jacobsen, the Montana Secretary of State at her office in the State Capital building, Helena, MT and make your return to Plaintiffs' counsel at the address, above.

Dated this 13th day of October, 2021

Peter Michael Meloy
MELOY LAW FIRM
P.O. Box 1241
Helena, MT 59624
(406) 442-8670
Autorney for Plaintiffs

Peter M. Meloy MELOY LAW FIRM P.O. Box 1241 Helena. Montana 59624 406-442-8670 mike@meloylawfirm.com

Abha Khanna\*
ELLAS LAW GROUP LLP
1700 Seventh Avenue, Suite 2100
Seattle, Washington 98101
Telephone; (206) 656-0177
akhanna@erias.law

Anorweys for Plaintiffs
\*Motion for Pro Hac Vice Forthcoming

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MONTANA MISSOULA DIVISION

Montana Democratic Party. Montanans for Tester, Macee Patritti.

Plaintiffs.

1

Christi Jacobsen, in her official capacity as Montana Secretary of State, Jeffrey Mangan, in his official capacity as Montana Commissioner of Political Practices.

Defendants.

Case No. CV-21-119-M-DWM

TO: Christi Jacobsen, in her official capacity as Montana Secretary of State.

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE:

#### Case 9:21-cv-00119-DWM Document 11 Filed 11/03/21 Page 8 of 34

A lawsuit has been filed against you. Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) you must serve on the plaintiffs an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address is:

Peter Michael Meloy. Mi.I.OY LAW FIRM. P.O. Box 1241. Helena, MT 59624

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

CLERK OF COURT

Dated: 10/12/21

Chief Clerk of Deputy Clerk of Court

Peter M. Meloy MELOY LAW FIRM P.O. Box 1241 Helena, Montana 59624 406-442-8670 mike(ameloyii.wfirm.com

Abha Khanna\*
ELLAS LAW GROUP LLP
1700 Seventh Avenue, Suite 2100
Seattle, Washington 98101
Telephone: (206) 656-0177
akh tana ä elias law

Anormeys for Plaintiffs
\*Motion for Pro Hac Vice Forthcoming

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MONTANA MISSOULA DIVISION

Montana Democratic Party, Montanans for Tester, Macce Patritti.

Plaintiffs.

٧.

Christi Jacobsen, in her official capacity as Montana Secretary of State, Jeffrey Mangan, in his official capacity as Montana Commissioner of Political Practices.

Defendants.

Case No. CV-21-119-M-DWM

TO: Christi Jacobsen, in her official capacity as Montana Secretary of State.

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE:

A lawsuit has been filed against you, Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) you must serve on the plaintiffs an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address is:

Peter Michael Meloy, MELOY LAW FIRM, P.O. Box 1241, Helena, MT 59624

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

CLERK OF COURT

Dated: 10/12/21

Chief Clerk of Deputy Clerk of Court

Peter M. Meloy MELOY LAW FIRM P.O. Box 1241 Helena, Montana 59624 406-442-8670 mixe@melogicwfirm.com

Abba Khanna\*
ELLAS LAVe GROUP LLP
1700 Seventh Avenue. Suite 2100
Scattle, Washington 98101
Telephone: (206) 656-0177
akhanna 6, mashav

Advancys for Plaintiffs
\*Motion for Pro Hac Vice Forthcoming

# DISTRICT OF MONTANA MISSOULA DIVISION

Montana Democratic Party, Montanans for Tester, Macee Patritti.

Plaintiffs.

17

Christi Jacobsen, in her official capacity as Montana Secretary of State, Jeffrey Mangan, in his official capacity as Montana Commissioner of Political Practices.

A. Rotenouts

Case No.

# GMELIAINT FOR DECLARATORY AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

Plaintink MONTANA DEMOCRATIC PARTY, MONTANANS FOR

TESTER, and MACEE PATRITTI, by and through their undersigned counsel, file this COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF against Devendants CHRISTIJACOBSEN, in her official capacity as the Montana Secretary of State (the "Secretary"), and JEFFREY MANGAN, in his official capacity as the Montana Commissioner of Political Practices (the "Commissioner"), and allege as follows:

#### NATURE OF THE CASE

- The 2020 general election saw Montana's highest voter turnout rates in nearly 50 years. Montanans roted in record numbers, with over 80 percent of registered Montana voters casting a ballot.
- This record voter participation was propelled by a surge in turnout arms a Montan Is young yelos. In 2020, the number of Montanans between the age of (8 and 29 and a case a bullot increased nearly 40 percent from the 2016 presidential election.
- Over the last flav years. During the 2018 midterm elections, 42 percent of young voters hast a in that they flav less than 18 percent of young voters in the 2014 midterm classics.
- Mean and accelebrate this landable increase in youth participation, the

young voters and limiting their access to the franchise. Among the bills passed during the state's most recent legislative session were measures that eliminated Montana's lengistanding tradition of election day voter registration (House Bill 176) and lim' ed the use of student ID cards as a form of voter identification (Senate Bill 169)

- 21. This lawsuit challenges another one of those bills, Senate Bill 319 ("SB 31.") which imposes arbitrary, vague, and onerous restrictions on the rights of college studens to and take important political organizing efforts, engage in core political specchanic otherwise participate fully in the political process.
- According to the things, SB 319 prohibits any political committee—including student organizations—from directing, coordinating, managing, or conducting to make iterification efforts, voter registration drives, signature coloridate of a ballot sollection efforts, or voter turnout efforts for a federal, state, local or which election is ite a residence hall, dining facility, or athletic facility opened by a ablic pasts coordinating institution." SB 319, 67th Leg., Reg. Sess. § 21(1) (Mast 2021) has "Student Organizing Ban").
- organizing Ban is a surgical attack on the successful organizing of material political power of Montana's youngest voters. By targeting only and entity residence balls, dining facilities, and athletic facilities, the Legions to a locker is larger proventing young, newly enfranchised Montanans

from participating fully in the political process. Not only does the Student Organizing Ban prevent political committees from reaching college students in the areas of campus where their efforts are likely to be the most fruitful, it also prohibits college students from engaging in core political speech—including organizing efforts—in engaging with any political committee.

3. Sinin if s bring this action challenging the Student Organizing Ban as uncertaintain under the First, Fourteenth, and Twenty-Sixth Amendments.<sup>1</sup>

#### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- the deprivation under color of state law of rights secured by the United States

  Constitution
- 10. This Court has original jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action under 14 148 Al. §§ 1331 and 1343 because the matters in controversy arise under

Senate Bill 319 is also subject to a challenge in Montana state court, where plaintiffs have challenged several aspects of the bill, including the Student Organizing Ban, under both the First Amendment and multiple provisions of the Montana Constitution. Sec Compl., Forward Mont, et al. v. Montana et. al., Case No. ACV-2021-611 (Mont. Dist. Ct. June 1, 2021). In that proceeding, the court greated a prof. ninery foliaction enjoining the enforcement of Senate Bill 319 for the people by a fathal litigation. Sec Prelim. Inj. Order, Forward Mont. et al. v. Azotta 227 A. Cas. No. ADV-2021-611 (Mont. Dist. Ct. July 1, 2021). That case is a first and a fathal litigation of final resolution regarding the enforceability or couplity to a fathal litigation of the Caganizing Ban. Not only are Plaintiffs here not profit to the exceeding they raise distinct and additional claims for injuries to the cast also lead to getter or the control of from this Court.

the Constitution and laws of the United States and involve the assertion of deprivations, under color of state law, of rights under the U.S. Constitution.

- This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants, who are sued in their official capacities.
- 2. Plantis proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) because, Internal at the Plaintiffs' claims occurred and will necessary this judicial district.
- The Court has the authority to enter declaratory and injunctive relief untied Fallow Dales of Civil P peedure 57 and 65 and 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202.

#### PARTIES

party establish alphastant to Mont. Code Ann. § 13-38-101 et seq. It meets the broad de hilt on after "table?" cance title?" falling under SB 319's restrictions. See Mt. Code 13-1-16: 311 Its prission is to elect Democratic Party candidates in local. en any are a rank advertable, thous within the state of Montana. MDP works to need tup list at a rise of by a Locating, mobilizing, assisting, and turning out voters through see the state of Montana. Hope activities include supporting Democratic Party confidence in a superior of the activities include supporting Democratic Party confidence in a general to a liberal elections through fundraising and organizing:

Montanans who regularly support candidates affiliated with the Democratic Party and will register and vote in future elections. MDP has expended millions of dollars to persuade and mobilize vote is to support candidates up and down the ballot who affiliate with the Democratic Party in Montana. MDP again intends to make substantial expenditures to support Democratic candidates in the 2022 election and in fature elections.

The Annual MDP's activities have been significant efforts to register and matrificate voices on college campuses, including by retaining staff whose responsibilities specifically include campus organizing. The Student Organizing Ban d's also have a MDP in three ways. First, it prohibits MDP from engaging in core political speach protected by the First Amendment, targeting MDP's contaminated at equationing speech designed to influence the voters in an election. Second, he improves a conditional injury by frustrating MDP's mission and efforts to clear the energy conditions injury by frustrating MDP's mission and efforts to clear the energy conditions in Montana by suppressing the access of young voters, where help are a prove the matrix candidates, to the franchise. Third, due to the Second Coga Ling Factor which prohibits MDP from registering and mobilizing we are adapted of a conserve allege and university campuses such as dorms, dining have a factor in the factor of the factor of

potential voters, diverting both staff and monetary resources away from other mission-critical efforts.

- are also injured by the Student Organizing Ban as it violates their First Amendment free speech rights and sargically targets the right of college students to vote on the basis of their age in violation of the Twenty-Sixth Amendment.
- 17. Promise MONTANANS FOR TESTER is the principal campaign committee of Senator for Tester. It meets the broad definition of a "political committee" billing under SB 319's restrictions. See Mont. Code Ann. § 13-1-101(31). Its at single is to support the election and re-election of Jon Tester to the Universal tates a mate. It further ance of this mission. Montanans for Tester expends millions of a factor to educate, mobilize, assist, and turn out voters throughout the state of afant, its including some on college campuses.
- Sandar Organizing Ban injures Montanans for Tester in three ways. That, Level Trits Mantanans for Tester from engaging in core political speech processed by the First Amendment, targeting Montanans for Tester's commandantials containing speech designed to influence the voters in an election. Secondar, if the poster a compositive injury by frustrating Montanans for Tester's rule for a color for Tester to the United States Senate in Montana by support all grants are sufficiently voters, who tend to tend to support Democratic

candidates, to the franchise. Third, due to the Student Organizing Ban—which prohibits Montanans for Tester from registering and mobilizing voters in high-traffic areas of college and university campuses such as dorms, dining halls, and athletic facil the state areas for Tester will inevitably have to dedicate more staff to voter registration and mobilization on campus in order to reach the same number of potential voters, diverting both staff and monetary resources away from other mission scritical efforts.

- 19. It deed, the efforts of Montanans for Tester and MDP to register young voters in 2018 provide a particularly poignant example of the inevitable impact of the Student of gradizing Bar. Prior to the 2018 election, Montanans for Tester—working with VDP registered over 3,000 new voters on college campuses through a focus on vote registeration in high-traffic campus areas such as dorms and dining halls. The Student Organizing Ban would make such efforts illegal.
- Jeff usen Consequence of the is 19 years old and a freshman at the University of Montana Manfana of the is 19 years old and a freshman at the University of Montana Manfana of the residence in politics and was a student intern for the Montana Department of the Montana of the Part of the 2020 election. As part of her responsibilities as an interaction for the fact that the compus of Montana Technological University in Bana of the compus of Montana Technological University in Bana of the compus of Montana facilities such as dining that the compusions of Montana facilities such as dining

Montanans for Tester. These activities would now be prohibited by the Student Carganizing Ban, injuring Ms. Patritti by restricting her ability to engage in core policial telephysical protected by the First Amendment. The Student Organizing Ban for the Light with a protected by the First Amendment. The Student Organizing Ban of the Light with a patridging her right to vote due to her age in violation of the President Carganization.

- and Is carried as a Defendant in her official capacity. The Secretary is Montana's chief election of her, vessing her with the authority "to obtain and maintain uniformly in the application operation, and interpretation of the election laws other than those in Title 13, chapter 35, 36, or 37." Mont Code Ann. § 13-1-201.
- Political Practices and is anneed as a Defendant in his official capacity. The Commissions: is obacyc, with "investigating all of the alleged violations of the clear at his according to computer 35 of this title or [chapter 37] and in conjunction with the county the cases is responsible for enforcing these election laws." Mont College at his according to the property of the includes, among other things, the regulation of case the first of problems among other things, the regulation of case the first of problems among the regulation of election materials distributed by political committees. Id. 13-35-225.

#### STATEMENTS OF FACTS AND LAW

- 23. For years, Montana has been a leader in administering secure and accessible elections. The record-breaking turnout that Montana saw in the 2020 general election—especially among young voters—is evidence that when it is easier to some many purple was. And the increased participation of young voters, in participation, we sard-ling, over 30% more Montanans between the age of 18 and 29 case clearly a compared to the 2011 presidential election. In response, the Montana Legarity are legated to dismantle a number of the procedures and practices that made it can be be young fill at mans to participate in the political process.
- Wake at successful organizing and mobilization efforts by a number of organizations, including MDP and Montanans for Tester, the Legislature passed the Student Organizing Ban with the intent to hamstring political committees aimed at increasing the magage pain of college students across Montana.
- SB 310 fig. what was originally a pure compaign finance bill to one with profound implications for the five speech rights of Montana's college students.
- 2031. It was a sampling frame bill. The substance of the bill related entirely to

campaign finance regulations—specifically, the use of and reporting requirements for joint fundraising committees.

- 27. On the day Separor Herz introduced the bill, it was referred to the Sent of Some Administration Committee. The bill received a hearing on February 26, 2021, passed out of the State Administration Committee on March 1, 2021, and passed out of the State Administration Committee on March 1, 2021, and
- Note: \$33.310 reached the Montana House of Representatives, it was referred. In Lance 2.3 and Administration Committee. The bill received a hearing in the thouse. Make 2.3 2021, passed out of the State Administration Committee with any referred at Make 23, 2021, and was returned to the Senate—as make those on spell 6, 2021.
- rejucted the Heavis's americanents on April 23, 2021.
- discrepancies between two versions of a bill using a conference committee, composed of the conference committee and three members of the Senate. The conference committee's work is limited to accepting, rejecting, or amending the disputed tankenisten's
- a su-a leaf the affective committee fails to reconcile the disputed amendments,

- 32. A free conference committee has a broader mandate than a typical conference committee and is empowered to consider and adopt any amendment within the scope and title of the bill, even if such an amendment was not included in either than bor's version of the original bill.
- the Legislature never appointed a committee to an above the discrepancies between the House and Senate version of SF 3.9, housed, is the last days of the legislative session, the Legislature sent haddle and a affect conference committee.
- pu 3d une 1 ama a 38 319.
- the as tolerable the conference committee adopted four amendments that fundamentally altered the corps and substance of SB 319.
- The free conference committee did not seek or allow public comment on the schedulints, nor were they subject to the scrutiny of the relevant House or Senate committees.
- But (which is bound in Section 21 of SB 319), prohibits political committees from directing, coordinating managing or conducting any "voter identification efforts, voter a gistralia drives, signature collection efforts, ballot collection efforts, or

voter turnout efforts for a federal, state, local, or school election inside a residence hall, dining facility, or athletic facility operated by a public postsecondary institution." SE 313 § 21(1).

and The Student Organizing Ban is designed to limit the ability of newly-enfant based to among voters to fully exercise the franchise by limiting the information a silable to them and by stopping them from engaging in our climiterally preserved political speech on college campuses.

Figure 1 is a plantage of the Student Organizing Ban. State Senator Steve Figure 1 is a little that the 1nd "no problem if kids vote," but he wanted to protect thou if a beautiful plantage is plained" by "really activist causes."

chills stite in all problematic purpose, the Student Organizing Ban will chill stite in all protoned speech far outside the activities specifically

as a colonial protected activity, from commonplace election-related undertakings like down-kneeking and one-on-one advocacy, to information about how to acquire

sufficient identification to cast a ballot, to conversations about candidates, issues, and initiatives supported or opposed by a particular political committee.

- Honorer et although the Student Organizing Ban purports to allow inperson organizing efforts provided the activity is undertaken "at [an] individual's
  exclusive initiation "3B \*12 § 2!(2), neither SB 319 nor the Montana Election Code
  define precisely who it needs to undertake such activities at an "individual's
  exclusive initiation."
- The Studen Organizing Ban would prohibit any college student who lives in a constitutionally sets in a dining hall from undertaking or participating in any of the anishing political by the Student Organizing Ban if that student an area kelon in a ajunction with any political committee. Put another way, the Sauden against a figure of its student from engaging in constitutionally protected and it is a applicable peach in the place they call home.
- Most against definition of a "political committee" encompasses a language of a languag
- deficient of appelications and because an organization becomes an "incidental political

committee" if it makes a single expenditure supporting or opposing a candidate or ballot initiative, the Student Organizing Ban will have significant consequences for any student group that chooses to take a stand on the most important political and social a estimate this ere. Mont. Code Ann. § 13-1-101(23).

of the steep produce that accompany a violation of the Student Organizing Ban.

As you like a remainded that accompany a violation of the Student Organizing Ban is subject to a fell of partial of the student of a continuing violation of the state of the student of a continuing violation of the state of

Fig. 1. And the first Amendment's completely and appressing political speech. And, because it does so in making a manifest in the first attempt to suppress the voting power of college state.

# TI ADMS FOR RELIEF

# COUNTI

U.S. Const. Amend. I and NIV, 42 U.S.C. § 1983, 28 U.S.C. § 2201, 28 U.S.C. § 2262

#### Restriction on Core Political Speech

incorporate by reference paragraphs 1 through 49 as though fully set forth herein.

- Montana from abridging the right to free expression. The right is at its most protective when the speech at stake is political, serving "to ensure that the individual citizen the effectively participate in and contribute to our republican system of self-government." The hard the apparent Co. v. Super. Ct., 457 U.S. 596, 604 (1982).
- Meeting has resolved PLC tiffer rights to "participate in and contribute to" our system. Figure 1 and "a Lin ing "political committees" like MDP and Montanans from Total Contributionally, and contribute to "our identification of the experimental and contribute to" our system. Figure 1 and contribute to "political committees" like MDP and Montanans from Total Contributional final for a discretification of the experimental and contribute to an analysis and the floristic for a federal, state, local, or school election in the experimental final for a federal final fin
- proceedings the mechanics of the electoral process of the elector of pure speech," targeting "only those" countries in an election."

  After the electoral process of the electoral process of the electoral pure speech, "targeting "only those" countries in an election."

  After the electoral pure speech, "targeting "only those" to be a fine to be a f

Widmar v. Vincent. 454 U.S. 263, 267 n.5 (1981) ("The college classroom with its surrounding environs is peculiarly 'the marketplace of ideas."").

The Student Organizing Ban singles out the voting-related expression of perblad committees—and those who work with them—for regulation. Voter registration, recent amount, and signature collection efforts constitute "the type of interpolate continuation concerning political change that is appropriately done it educate a repull deal speech." Meyer v. Grant. 486 U.S. 414, 421-22 (1988), consider a what a gan factions like MDP and Montanans for Tester express the interpolated advantage of concept with voters with whom they share common goals, so has that it was that it is therefore faced not "with an ordinary charles a transfer of the court is therefore faced not with an ordinary charles a transfer of the political expression.

Canada Pade, a large and Proving and to facilitate signature collection for ballot the and a large and the large with whom they share a common goal. For the large and will spend in the future, substantial resources in efforts to the large and large and have been decompuses. As a result of these controls are also been pushed by the standard of young college students whose First which have a large and large and the large and the large controls of Tester has similarly the and a large and large and large campuses, registering 3,000 voters on

Patritti has devoted her time to assist in these efforts on Montana's campuses and places to desorie the future.

- The Supreme Court and the lower federal courts have explained that this count of a stitutes quimessential political speech. See, e.g., Meyer, 486 U.S. at 424-22 (explaining, that discussions with "potential signatories" constitute core political speech he have they will at least entail "persuad[ing] them that the matter is one derivating of the public scratting and debate that would attend its consideration by the elaboration of the public scratting and debate that would attend its consideration by the elaboration of the public scratting and debate that would attend its consideration by the elaboration of the public scratting and the public of voter registration drives is obvious: they can be also because the public patient in the political process through voting is important to a company the science.").
- The Table of Caganizing Ban, therefore, restricts Plaintiffs from its recing with a figure take to an opics core to First Amendment protections. This beating the analysis a grave constitutional infringement. But the Student Cagadilla Facility is well by its effect on young voters.
- value and the state of a discreptive to vater outreach and the political speech that and a record of a discreptive to vating-related expression where they are most early a discreptive to an agreely describes the people, programs, and

places within its reach, it chills these same students from speaking on political issues as robustly as they would were the law not in place.

- 57. Recease of these burdens, the Student Organizing Ban is subject to "exacting scruting" and may only be upheld if it is narrowly tailored to serve a competting startistizest. Metatyre, 514 U.S. at 347.
  - The Bar extract withstend such scrutiny.
- competing one it imposing content-based restrictions on Plaintiffs' interactions with Mantan. It public out use students, not least because of the roughshod way the Students. Organizing Par was passed see supra ¶ 25-37.
- specification of the Paris problem admitted that its purpose was to chill specification of the lateral parished and the lateral particle essentially admitted that through the Parished and Specification of the P

Who is such State's articulated interests, they will not be sufficient to justify a Ban't and which has Phiraliffs' right to free expression.

Plant 127 to the request injunctive and declaratory relief to prevent

the rate and array hijeries imposed by the Student Organizing Ban to

Plantiff right and a result grammated by the First Amendment.

### COUNTH

Twenty-Sixth Amendment
U.S. Const. Amend XXVI, 42 U.S.C. § 1983, 28 U.S.C. § 2201, 28 U.S.C. § 2202

Denial or Abridgement of the Right to Vote on Account of Age

- 49 as though fully so: forth herein.
- The Try erty-Sisch Amendment to the U.S. Constitution provides in relevant and "The right of stizens of the United States, who are eighteen years of age or a der, to core shall not be denied or abridged by . . . any State on account of age." The goal of the American "was not merely to empower voting by our youths but we differentiably to encourage their voting, through the elimination of the extra of burners and hard as so that their vigor and idealism could be brought within after than contain advide hawfully constituted institutions." Worden v.
- The A policy Amendment guarantees young, qualified voters a substituting, appeals a capably with other qualified voters in the electoral process. While the area of the expendence by onge discrimination," it has "particular to be a fairly of the comprise approximately 50 per cent of all who were a strangelia, it by this again more." Walgren v. Howes, 482 F.2d 95, 101 (1st C) and gith a site of the comprise of the compress of the comprise of the compress of the comprise of the compress of th

As a result, how s that have the purpose, even in part, of denying or abringing the right to vote an account of age are unconstitutional. League of Women Foters: Determ 314 F. Supp. 3d 1205, 1222-23 (N.D. Fla. 2018) (holding plan 187); went substantially likely to succeed on merits of Twenty-Sixth Amendment of an including to restrictive state guidance "unexplainable on granting other than age"); Colo. Project-Common Cause v. Anderson, 495 P.2d 220, 223 (Colo. 1972) (holding based on "[h]istory and reason" that the Twenty-Sixth Amendment's probabilities against denying the right to vote to anyone eighteen years of alder by reason of age applies to the entire process involving the exercise of the sallowance is a constitutes").

The Standard Corporating Ban targets Montana's college-age voters with surgical arcinions to Jun'ts political speech in college residence halls, dining facilities, and added a facilities—but no other type of public building—in a transporate and appropriate political committees from reaching young, college-age to are a superconducted for the discrepancy of the Student Organizing Ban and the standard and the standard facility discriminates on the basis of age in violation of the "transporation" and the standard standard search.

construction in the anti-columnery relief is needed to prevent the serious and construction in the serious and right to the serious and right to the

WHEREFORE. Plaintiffs respectfully request that this Court enter judgment:

- declaring, under the authority granted to this Court by 28 U.S.C. § 2201, that the Student Organizing Ban violates the First, Fourteenth, and Twenty-Sixth Amendments to the United States Constitution;
- b) unjoining the Secretary and Commissioner of Political Practices, under the authority granted to this Court by 28 U.S.C. §2202, the authority fit of the Student Organizing Ban;
- c) awarding Plaintiffs their costs, disbursements, and reasonable atterneys. Cost incurred in bringing this action pursuant to 42 J.S.C. § 1988 and other applicable laws; and
- d. grunding such other and further relief as the Court deems just and

Dated: October 12, 2021

Respectfully submitted.

# /s: Peter Michael Melov

Peter M. Meloy P.O. Box 1241 Helena, Montana 59624 406-442-8670 mike(almeloylawfirm.com

Abina Khanna\*
ELIAS LAW GROUP LLP
1700 Seventh Avenue, Suite 2100
Scattle, Washington 98101
Telephone: (206) 656-0177
Eshuma aerias, law

Counsel for Plaintiffs
\*Motion for Pro Hac Vice Forthcoming